



No. 3586 | FEBRUARY 17, 2021

Strengthening the U.S. Response to Forced Labor in Xinjiang

Olivia Enos and Tori K. Smith

KEY TAKEAWAYS

The Chinese Communist Party (CCP) profits from its exploitation of the Uyghurs by subjecting them to forced labor in Xinjiang.

The U.S. has a strategic interest in taking stronger action to block goods produced with state-sponsored forced labor in Xinjiang from entering its markets.

The U.S. should implement a tailored "rebuttable presumption" and expand Withhold Release Orders to combat the CCP's human rights violations. thnic Uyghurs in China are facing an unprecedented assault on their civil liberties and freedoms. Since 2018, between 1.8 million and 3 million Uyghurs have been collectivized and interned in political re-education camps in China.¹ The Chinese Communist Party (CCP) has stopped at little to advance its priorities,² including by carrying out what the U.S. government determined constitutes ongoing genocide and crimes against humanity.³

Part of the CCP's systematic assault on Uyghurs includes subjecting them to forced labor, a form of human trafficking under U.S. and international law.⁴ One well-documented means of collectivization⁵ is the CCP's system of labor transfers both inside and outside the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region in northwest China. Another form of forced labor occurs in factories, many of which are adjacent to the camps.⁶

This paper, in its entirety, can be found at http://report.heritage.org/bg3586

The Heritage Foundation | 214 Massachusetts Avenue, NE | Washington, DC 20002 | (202) 546-4400 | heritage.org

Nothing written here is to be construed as necessarily reflecting the views of The Heritage Foundation or as an attempt to aid or hinder the passage of any bill before Congress.

In response to concerns over forced labor, the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) has developed tools to stop goods produced with forced labor from entering U.S. markets. Congress has also sought to devise solutions to these pressing challenges, introducing last year the Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act⁷ and the Uyghur Forced Labor Disclosure Act.⁸ On January 27, 2021, the Senate reintroduced a modified version of the bipartisan Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act. These proposed legislative measures seek to expand the CBP's authorities to target forced labor in Xinjiang.

It is in the strategic interest of the U.S. to promote its values, including by advancing solutions to counter forced labor in Xinjiang. The U.S. should implement a tailored "rebuttable presumption" and expand Withhold Release Orders (WROs)⁹ to stop goods produced with forced labor from Xinjiang from entering U.S. markets. This requires additional resources, personnel, and expertise to construct successful responses that seek to end human trafficking in Xinjiang. This should be seen as part of broader, bipartisan efforts to address human rights violations in China.

Forms of Forced Labor in Xinjiang

The CCP is subjecting Uyghurs to forced labor as an additional facet of its repressive policies.

According to U.S. and international law, trafficking requires the presence of "force, fraud, or coercion."¹⁰ Forced labor is but one form of human trafficking. The Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons at the U.S. Department of State notes:

Forced labor, sometimes also referred to as labor trafficking, encompasses the range of activities—recruiting, harboring, transporting, providing, or obtaining—involved when a person uses force or physical threats, psychological coercion, abuse of the legal process, deception, or other coercive means to compel someone to work.¹¹

Available reporting indicates that Uyghurs are being forced to labor (1) in factories attached to the CCP's vast network of political re-education camps, and (2) through forced labor transfer programs in Xinjiang and around China.

Political Re-education Camp or Political Re-education Camp-Adjacent Forced Labor. New reports confirm rumors that many of the same facilities that intern between 1.8 million and 3 million Uyghurs are sharing factory space with so-called legitimate enterprises.¹² Uyghurs in the camps are subject to forced indoctrination, forced self-criticism, torture, and in some cases even death.¹³ The camps themselves are an abomination, but activities occurring inside the camps, including subjecting Uyghur women of child-bearing age to forced abortions and forced sterilizations, eventually led the U.S. to conclude that the CCP is committing acts of genocide and crimes against humanity.¹⁴ While initial reports from the camps did not suggest that inmates were also subject to re-education through labor, there were suspicions that this might be the case given the CCP's history of deploying this practice as a means of punishment, despite its claims to the contrary.¹⁵

More recent reporting confirms that the CCP's historical practices are being revived and used against Uyghurs. *Buzzfeed*'s investigative work details how 170 of the nearly 260 political re-education camps in Xinjiang they identified through satellite imagery analyses are believed to have factories directly attached or adjacent to the camps where Uyghurs are no doubt forced to labor.¹⁶ The connection between the camps and factories is well-documented.¹⁷ For example, the CBP stopped shipments of goods believed to be produced with forced labor in Xinjiang from Badger Sportwear, a company that produced sportswear and uniforms for U.S.-based college sports teams.¹⁸ It was found that this sportswear was sourced from a company called Hetian Taida Apparel that shared factory space with a known political re-education camp in Xinjiang.¹⁹ The fact patterns in the Hetian Taida Apparel allegations are reminiscent of the CCP's practices during the Cultural Revolution. During the Cultural Revolution, internment facilities, also known as *laogai*, were called the Jingzhou Industrial Dye Works and the Yingde Tea Plantation, although in reality both were large re-education-through-labor facilities.²⁰

Prison camp or prison camp-adjacent labor affects people in Xinjiang that are currently detained, as well as inmates released from political re-education camps and later transferred to factories both inside and outside Xinjiang through forced labor transfer programs.²¹

Forced Labor Transfer Programs. The CCP is also engaging in more traditional collectivization that uproots people from their homeland to labor in other provinces. In dispersing Uyghurs both within and outside Xinjiang, the CCP is able to separate them from their hometowns, their cultural and religious traditions, and from families, the most fundamental of societal building blocks. It is important to note that collectivization efforts mean that Uyghurs are not forced to labor *only* in Xinjiang, but are also being transferred to other regions, including to eastern China.²²

A new report by Adrian Zenz, senior fellow in China Studies at the Victims of Communism Memorial Foundation, estimates that in 2018 alone, at least 570,000 Uyghurs were mobilized for cotton-picking labor-transfer schemes.²³ There is especially robust information about the extent to which these programs are integrated in Xinjiang's cotton and tomato markets, as well as for the purposes of producing personal protective equipment²⁴ and textiles.²⁵

Poverty alleviation has long been an excuse used by the CCP to conduct major social re-engineering through collectivization programs that transition well-educated Uyghurs (and others) into menial forms of labor for purposes of re-education.²⁶ In fact, President Xi Jinping made it a priority to eradicate poverty in China by the end of 2020, and while that goal was likely not met (despite claims to the contrary), mobilization of Uyghurs in Xinjiang was a cornerstone of these efforts.²⁷

While the CCP's two forced labor schemes are different, they merit equal attention. The U.S. is not without tools to combat forced labor emanating from Xinjiang. It has, in fact, already made use of these tools to stop some goods from entering the U.S. market, but there is certainly more that can and must be done to combat and end forced labor from Xinjiang.

Actions Taken by the CBP and Congress

The CBP enforces section 307 of The Tariff Act of 1930,²⁸ which prohibits foreign products made in whole or in part with forced labor from entering the U.S. domestic market. Congress passed the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015,²⁹ which contained a provision to strengthen the CBP's ability to stop shipments suspected of containing products made using forced labor. Specifically, the act repealed the "consumptive demand" clause in The Tariff Act of 1930. This clause provided a loophole for products made with forced labor "if the goods were not produced in such quantities in the United States as to meet the consumptive demands of the United States."³⁰ Closing that loophole enabled the CBP to more aggressively block goods from entering the U.S. market. Under the Trump Administration, the CBP worked to fully enforce the laws by issuing 12 WROs on shipments from China related to forced labor between 2018 and 2021.³¹ All but two of those WROs were issued after 2019, and of the remaining 10 WROs, eight were directly related to shipments from facilities within Xinjiang.

The U.S. uses WROs in a variety of ways depending on the evidence available regarding the pervasiveness of forced labor to produce goods abroad. Some orders are very targeted, focusing on a particular set of goods from

TABLE 1

Withhold and Release Orders for China, 2018–2021

Date	Merchandise	Manufacturer(s)	Location in China
March 5, 2018	Toys	Huizhou Mink Industrial Co. Ltd.	Unknown
September 30, 2019	All Garments	Hetian Taida Apparel Co., Ltd.	Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR)
May 1, 2020	Hair Products	Hetian Haolin Hair Accessories Co., Ltd.	XUAR
June 17, 2020	Hair Products	Lop County Meixin Hair Products Co., Ltd.	XUAR
August 11, 2020	Garments	Hero Vast Group, including Shanghai Hero Vast International Trading Co., Ltd.; Henan Hero Vast Garment Co., Ltd.; Yuexi Hero Vast Garment Co., Ltd.; Ying Han International Co., Ltd.; and Hero Vast Canada Inc.	Outside of XUAR
August 25, 2020	Hair Products	Lop County Hair Product Industrial Park	XUAR
August 25, 2020	Labor	No. 4 Vocation Skills Education Training Center (VSETC)	XUAR
September 3, 2020	Apparel	Yili Zhuowan Garment Manufacturing Co., Ltd. and Baoding LYSZD Trade and Business Co., Ltd.	XUAR
September 8, 2020	Cotton and Processed Cotton	Xinjiang Junggar Cotton and Linen Co., Ltd.	XUAR
September 8, 2020	Computer Parts	Hefei Bitland Information Technology Co., Ltd.	Anhui
November 30, 2020	Cotton and Cotton Products	Xinjiang Production and Construction Corporation (XPCC) and its subordinate and affiliated entities	XUAR
January 13, 2021	Cotton Products and Tomato Products	Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR)	XUAR

SOURCE: U.S. Customs and Border and Protection, "National Media Release," various media releases, https://www.cbp.gov/newsroom/national-media-release (accessed January 22, 2021), and Sandler, Travis & Rosenberg, P.A., "Detention Order Issued on Toys Made in China Over Forced Labor Concerns," Trade Report, March 16, 2018, https://www.strtrade.com/trade-news-resources/str-trade-report/trade-report/detention-order-issued-on-toys-made-in-china-overforced-labor-concerns (accessed January 22, 2021).

BG3586 Theritage.org

an individual producer. The WRO on hair products from Lop County Hair Product Industrial Park is one such example.³² The CBP has also issued broader orders targeting entire sectors, such as the new WRO on cotton and tomato products from Xinjiang. The government issued this regional WRO because the CBP found evidence of "debt bondage, restriction of movement, isolation, intimidation and threats, withholding of wages, and abusive living and working conditions" during its investigation of these products originating in Xinjiang.³³ Forced labor is an issue that extends far beyond the borders of China. The CBP also has WROs on products from 11 other countries.³⁴ In 2018, the CBP issued a WRO for all cotton products from Turkmenistan.³⁵ The CBP also issued a sector-wide WRO for tobacco products from Malawi in 2019.³⁶ If WROs are insufficient at stopping the goods in question, the government can also consider establishing a rebuttable presumption. This allows the CBP to assume that certain goods are produced with forced labor.

Despite the CBP's diligent efforts, there is still significant work to be done to combat forced labor abroad and to prevent goods produced using forced labor from entering the U.S. market. Last session, Members of Congress highlighted the specific need to address these issues in Xinjiang by introducing the Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act (H.R. 6210) and the Uyghur Forced Labor Disclosure Act of 2020 (H.R. 6270).³⁷ Both bills would place additional restrictions on companies doing business in Xinjiang, including preventing goods that were produced in the region from entering the U.S. market. The House passed H.R. 6210 and H.R. 6270 in 2020, but the Senate has not considered the bills. On January 27, 2021, Senator Marco Rubio (R–FL) reintroduced a modified version of the bipartisan Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act in the 117th Congress.³⁸

Policy Options to Counter Forced Labor in Xinjiang

The Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act advocates creating a "rebuttable presumption" that all goods, with few exceptions, produced in Xinjiang were produced with forced labor. The concept of a rebuttable presumption is a good one, as it alleviates the burden of proof for the CBP to withhold release of goods produced in a particular region. There is precedent for this with North Korea.

In the case of North Korea, the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (CAATSA), Section 321(b) created "a rebuttable presumption that significant goods, wares, merchandise, and articles mined, produced, or manufactured wholly or in part by North Korean nationals or North Korean citizens anywhere in the world are forced-labor goods prohibited from importation under the Tariff Act of 1930."³⁹ Because the Tariff Act of 1930 prohibits the importation of all goods produced with forced labor,⁴⁰ and all goods made by North Koreans are assumed to be produced with forced labor, goods produced by North Koreans are generally prohibited from being imported into the U.S. market, with few exceptions.⁴¹ The rebuttable presumption is one of the more powerful tools the U.S. has to counter forced labor, but its strength is in its valid application and enforcement.⁴² The North Korean rebuttable presumption was not without unintended consequences. Because it was written to encompass a wide set of circumstances (North Korean forced laborers in political prison camps as well as North Korean laborers conscripted by the Kim regime to work abroad), there were reported instances of North Korean refugees being denied work because businesses were fearful of potential consequences of getting caught hiring so-called North Korean forced laborers. While these challenges should be easy to resolve, it is a cautionary tale to bear in mind when crafting new rebuttable presumptions.⁴³ The goal of any rebuttable presumption should be to stop forced labor in its tracks without discouraging legitimate industry from its normal functions.

When considering the use and application of a rebuttable presumption, Congress should ask whether there is sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the Xinjiang market as a whole is tainted. To do so, Congress should have substantial evidence that the majority of industries in the region are tainted by forced labor, making it next to impossible for legitimate business to be conducted. In the North Korean case, this was clear, and deep evidentiary research was not necessary since North Korea operates as a command economy. This may not be the case in Xinjiang. (Although auditors have claimed that they are unable to conduct credible investigations to determine the extent that forced labor is present in supply chains in Xinjiang.)⁴⁴

Furthermore, rebuttable presumptions and WROs are only effective if the CBP is able to enforce them. The CBP relies on tips from individuals who suspect that goods produced with forced labor are making their way into U.S. markets. Persons with relevant information about shipments can submit tips to the CBP's online portal⁴⁵ or call the CBP's Forced Labor Division hotline at 1-800-BE-ALERT.⁴⁶ There is a need to increase awareness about the CBP hotline.

Finally, a successful rebuttable presumption requires the political will to implement it. That means that there must be bipartisan support for its implementation. Given that concern over human rights in Xinjiang is shared by Republicans and Democrats, generating political will should not be too difficult. However, there must be continuity in its application, meaning that a Biden Administration must take up the mantle to carry the policy out.

Recommendations for the U.S.

Conditions of forced labor in Xinjiang merit a strong U.S. response. Countering forced labor in Xinjiang will require immense political will and bipartisan willingness to continue efforts to counter human rights violations taking place in China. To do so, Congress and the executive branch should take an evidence-forward approach to tackling the challenge. Specifically, Congress and the Biden Administration should:

- Create a narrowly tailored rebuttable presumption that goods produced in certain sectors of Xinjiang were produced with forced labor. There is significant evidence that substantial amounts of goods produced in Xinjiang are tainted by forced labor. However, it is not yet clear that the entire region is devoid of legitimate industry. Therefore, a rebuttable presumption should be created that all goods produced in political re-education camps and political re-education camp-adjacent facilities are produced with forced labor. The rebuttable presumption should also extend to the vast network of labor-transfer programs within Xinjiang as well as to programs that transfer Xinjiang residents to other parts of China. This narrowly tailored rebuttable presumption may serve as a precursor to a rebuttable presumption that extends to the entire region.
- Establish an expanded region-wide Withhold Release Order. The CBP should issue a Xinjiang-wide WRO under a two-year trial period to determine the percentage of goods produced in Xinjiang that are made with forced labor. This two-year time period could be used to increase resources and personnel expertise in addressing forced labor in China, specifically Xinjiang. If an overwhelming percentage of goods seized at the U.S. border are found to be produced with forced labor, Congress should then consider instituting a regional rebuttable presumption that all goods produced in Xinjiang are produced with forced labor. For the two-year period, Congress should mandate a quarterly report from the CBP detailing ongoing and completed investigations and companies and perpetrators found with forced labor in their supply chains, as well as individuals and entities within the Chinese government responsible for perpetrating forced labor in the region.
- Increase resources to the CBP's Forced Labor Division. The CBP's Forced Labor Division plays a critical role in addressing forced labor in Xinjiang. Congress should increase funding, resources, and personnel for the CBP's Forced Labor Division to address the increased need for investigations and response created by the regional WRO.
- Improve public awareness of the CBP's Forced Labor Division tip portal and hotline. The CBP relies on tips from individuals, industry experts, lawyers, and others to track down potential shipments of

goods produced with forced labor. Awareness of these resources should be increased and amplified to work toward ensuring that zero goods produced with forced labor make their way into U.S. markets.

- Build a coalition of allies in Asia and around the world to combat forced labor. One of the strengths of U.S. diplomacy is the commonly shared values among U.S. allies. Australia, Japan, South Korea, and others should consider adopting similar measures to the U.S. to ensure that goods produced with forced labor from Xinjiang do not make their way into their own markets. This is already happening in the U.S.–U.K. context. Allies likewise should also share best practices for preventing forced labor more generally.
- Focus on human rights challenges in China. Combatting human rights violations in China is a bipartisan priority. Combatting human trafficking is a bipartisan priority. Supporting the CBP's efforts to counter forced labor is one way to create continuity in policy response and has the potential to take these efforts to the next level. The Biden Administration should build on the momentum from the atrocity determination for Uyghurs by extending Priority-2 refugee status to Uyghurs fleeing persecution and sanctioning individuals and entities responsible for human rights violations.⁴⁷

Conclusion

The need to address human rights challenges in China will remain pressing as the U.S. government transitions into the Biden Administration. Seizing on the bipartisan political momentum to tackle the severe rights violations taking place in Xinjiang is a good place to start; addressing forced labor there is a discrete challenge with practical policy solutions to remedy the challenges and ensure that goods produced with forced labor in Xinjiang no longer make their way into U.S. markets.

Olivia Enos is a Senior Policy Analyst in the Asian Studies Center, of the Kathryn and Shelby Cullom Davis Institute for National Security and Foreign Policy, at The Heritage Foundation. **Tori K. Smith** is Jay Van Andel Trade Economist in the Thomas A. Roe Institute for Economic Policy Studies, of the Institute for Economic Freedom, at The Heritage Foundation.

Endnotes

- 1. Olivia Enos, "Responding to the Crisis in Xinjiang," Heritage Foundation *Backgrounder* No. 3416, June 7, 2019, https://www.heritage.org/asia/report/ responding-the-crisis-xinjiang.
- Adrian Zenz, "Beyond the Camps: Beijing's Long-Term Scheme of Coercive Labor, Poverty Alleviation and Social Control in Xinjiang," *The Journal of Political Risk*, Vol. 7, No. 12 (December 2019), https://www.jpolrisk.com/beyond-the-camps-beijings-long-term-scheme-of-coercive-labor-poverty-alleviation-and-social-control-in-xinjiang/ (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 3. Olivia Enos, "Why the U.S. Should Issue an Atrocity Determination for Uighurs," Heritage Foundation *Backgrounder* No. 3529, September 17, 2020, https://www.heritage.org/asia/report/why-the-us-should-issue-atrocity-determination-uighurs.
- 4. Adrian Zenz, "Coercive Labor in Xinjiang: Labor Transfer and the Mobilization of Ethnic Minorities to Pick Cotton," The Center for Global Policy, December 2020, https://cgpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/20201214-PB-China-Zenz-1-3.pdf (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 5. What is taking place in Xinjiang bears far greater resemblance to mass collectivization and subjugation before and during the Chinese Cultural Revolution. The underlying premise of China's mass incarceration of Uyghurs is to re-educate and re-orient their lives and culture around the CCP. This premise is identical to the motivations that animated the Cultural Revolution, in which large swaths of China's urban population were forced to relocate—or were collectivized—to rural areas. The force applied then was for the purposes of re-orienting daily activities of Chinese citizens to ensure that their lives were centered around serving the CCP and the Chinese government's end-state goals.
- 6. Alison Killing and Megha Rajagopalan, "We Found the Factories Inside China's Mass Internment Camps," *BuzzFeed News*, December 28, 2020, https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/alison_killing/xinjiang-camps-china-factories-forced-labor (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 7. Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act, H.R. 6210, 116th Congress, 2019–2020, https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/6210 (accessed January 22, 2021).
- 8. Uyghur Forced Labor Disclosure Act of 2020, H.R. 6270, 116th Congress, 2019–2020, https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/house-bill/6270 (accessed January 22, 2021).
- 9. A Withhold Release Order is a CBP tool for formally suspending the importation of goods believed to be produced with forced labor.
- 10. U.S. Department of State, Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons, "What Is Modern Slavery?" https://2009-2017.state.gov/j/tip/what/ index.htm (accessed January 20, 2021).
- 11. Ibid.
- 12. Killing and Rajagopalan, "We Found the Factories Inside China's Mass Internment Camps."
- 13. Joshua Lipes, "China's Actions in Xinjiang May Amount to 'Crimes Against Humanity,' Says US Rights Report," Radio Free Asia, January 8, 2020, https://www.rfa.org/english/news/uyghur/cecc-01072020190715.html (accessed January 20, 2021).
- 14. Adrian Zenz, "Sterilizations, IUDs, and Mandatory Birth Control: The CCP's Campaign to Suppress Uyghur Birthrates in Xinjiang," The Jamestown Foundation, June 2020, https://jamestown.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Zenz-Internment-Sterilizations-and-IUDs-UPDATED-July-21-Rev2. pdf?x41867 (accessed September 2, 2020); Peter Stubley, "Muslim Women 'Sterilized' in China Detention Camps, Say Former Detainees," *The Independent*, August 12, 2019, https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/asia/uighur-muslim-china-sterilisation-women-internment-camps-xinjiang-a9054641.html (accessed September 2, 2020); and "Xinjiang Hospitals Aborted, Killed Babies Outside Family Planning Limits: Uyghur Obstetrician," Radio Free Asia, August 17, 2020, https://www.rfa.org/english/news/uyghur/abortions-08172020144036.html (accessed September 2, 2020).
- 15. Congressional-Executive Commission on China, "Prospects for Reforming China's Reeducation Through Labor System," *Staff Research Report*, May 9, 2013, https://www.cecc.gov/publications/issue-papers/prospects-for-reforming-chinas-reeducation-through-labor-system (accessed January 20, 2021).
- Killing and Rajagopalan, "We Found the Factories Inside China's Mass Internment Camps," and Megha Rajagopalan, Alison Killing, and Christo Buschek, "China Secretly Built a Vast New Infrastructure to Imprison Muslims," *BuzzFeed News*, August 27, 2020, https://www.buzzfeednews.com/ article/meghara/china-new-internment-camps-xinjiang-uighurs-muslims (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 17. Amy K. Lehr, "Addressing Forced Labor in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region: Toward a Shared Agenda," CSIS Briefs, Center for Strategic and International Studies, July 30, 2020, https://www.csis.org/analysis/addressing-forced-labor-xinjiang-uyghur-autonomous-region-toward-sharedagenda (accessed January 19, 2021); Congressional-Executive Commission on China, "Global Supply Chains, Forced Labor, and the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region," *Staff Research Report*, March 2020, https://www.cecc.gov/sites/chinacommission.house.gov/files/documents/CECC%20 Staff%20Report%20March%202020%20-%20Global%20Supply%20Chains%2C%20Forced%20Labor%2C%20and%20the%20Xinjiang%20Uyghur%20 Autonomous%20Region.pdf (accessed January 19, 2021); and Adrian Zenz, "The Karakax List: Dissecting the Anatomy of Beijing's Internment Drive," *Journal of Political Risk*, Vol. 8, No. 2 (February 2020), https://www.jpolrisk.com/karakax/ (accessed February 3, 2021).
- News release, "CBP Issues Detention Orders against Companies Suspected of Using Forced Labor," U.S. Customs and Border Protection, October 1, 2019, https://www.cbp.gov/newsroom/national-media-release/cbp-issues-detention-orders-against-companies-suspected-using-forced (accessed January 19, 2021), and Scott Nova and Penelope Kyritsis, "Update on Forced Labor and Hetian Taida Apparel," Worker Rights Consortium, October 11, 2019, https://www.workersrights.org/communications-to-affiliates/update-on-forced-labor-and-hetian-taida-apparel/ (accessed January 19, 2021).

- Mark P. Lagon and Olivia Enos, "Why Businesses Should Care About the Use of Forced Labor in Xinjiang," Thomson Reuters Foundation, January 28, 2019, https://news.trust.org/item/20190125124144-rzie9/ (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 20. Olivia Enos, "Responding to the Crisis in Xinjiang," Heritage Foundation *Backgrounder* No. 3416, June 7, 2019, https://www.heritage.org/asia/report/ responding-the-crisis-xinjiang.
- 21. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, "Against Their Will: The Situation in Xinjiang," undated, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ ilab/against-their-will-the-situation-in-xinjiang (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 22. Ibid.
- 23. Adrian Zenz, "Coercive Labor in Xinjiang: Labor Transfer and the Mobilization of Ethnic Minorities to Pick Cotton," The Center for Global Policy, December 2020, https://cgpolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/20201214-PB-China-Zenz-1-3.pdf (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 24. Muyi Xiao, Haley Willis, Christoph Koettl, Natalie Reneau, and Drew Jordan, "China Is Using Uighur Labor to Produce Face Masks," *The New York Times*, July 19, 2020, https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/19/world/asia/china-mask-forced-labor.html (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 25. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, "Against Their Will: The Situation in Xinjiang."
- 26. Congressional-Executive Commission on China, "Global Supply Chains, Forced Labor, and the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region," p. 5.
- 27. Adrian Zenz, "Beyond the Camps: Beijing's Long-Term Scheme of Coercive Labor, Poverty Alleviation and Social Control in Xinjiang," *The Journal of Political Risk*, Vol. 7, No. 12 (December 2019), https://www.jpolrisk.com/beyond-the-camps-beijings-long-term-scheme-of-coercive-labor-poverty-alleviation-and-social-control-in-xinjiang/ (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 28. 19 U.S. Code § 1307. Convict-made goods; importation prohibited.
- 29. Public Law No. 114-125.
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015, Repeal of the Consumptive Demand Clause," https://www. cbp.gov/sites/default/files/assets/documents/2020-Feb/Fact%20Sheet%20-%20Repeal%20of%20the%20Consumptive%20Demand%20Clause.pdf (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 31. U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "Withhold Release Orders and Findings," https://www.cbp.gov/trade/programs-administration/forced-labor/ withhold-release-orders-and-findings (accessed January 19, 2021).
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "DHS Cracks Down on Goods Produced by China's State-Sponsored Forced Labor," September 14, 2020, https:// www.cbp.gov/newsroom/national-media-release/dhs-cracks-down-goods-produced-china-s-state-sponsored-forced-labor (accessed January 19, 2021).
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "CBP Issues Region-Wide Withhold Release Order on Products Made by Slave Labor in Xinjiang," January 13, 2021, https://www.cbp.gov/newsroom/national-media-release/cbp-issues-region-wide-withhold-release-order-products-made-slave (accessed January 19, 2021).
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "Withhold Release Orders and Findings," https://www.cbp.gov/trade/programs-administration/forced-labor/ withhold-release-orders-and-findings (accessed January 20, 2021).
- 35. Ibid.
- 36. Ibid.
- 37. Uyghur Forced Labor Prevention Act, H.R. 6210, 116th Congress, and Uyghur Forced Labor Disclosure Act of 2020, H.R. 6270, 116th Congress.
- 38. News release, "Rubio, Merkley, Colleagues Re-Introduce Uygher Forced Labor Prevention Act," Marco Rubio U.S. Senator for Florida, January 27, 2021, https://www.rubio.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/press-releases?ID=51CB92F4-BC6F-47AB-BB06-58DE5AE6F08E (accessed February 2, 2021).
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security, "CAATSA Title III Section 321(b) FAQs," March 30, 2018, https://www.dhs.gov/news/2018/03/30/caatsa-title-iii-section-321b-faqs (accessed January 22, 2021).
- 40. Legal Information Institute, "19 U.S. Code Chapter 4–Tariff Act of 1930," Cornell Law, https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/19/chapter-4 (accessed February 8, 2019).
- 41. "Kaesong Is a Buffalo Jump for Amoral Politicians & Unlawyered Cretins," Free Korea Blog, July 26, 2018, https://freekorea.us/2018/07/26/kaesong-isa-buffalo-jump-for-amoral-politicians-unlawyered-cretins/ (accessed February 8, 2019).
- 42. Olivia Enos, "Leveraging U.S. Law to Advocate for Human Rights in Talks with North Korea," Heritage Foundation *Backgrounder* No. 3388, February 22, 2019, https://www.heritage.org/asia/report/leveraging-us-law-advocate-human-rights-talks-north-korea.
- 43. In person author briefing with DHS official with knowledge of CAATSA on April 28, 2017, and International Labor Rights Forum, "U.S. Measures to Combat Human Trafficking: Responses in the Corporate World," event at Capitol Hilton in Washington, DC, April 28, 2017, https://laborrights.org/ events/us-measures-combat-human-trafficking-responses-corporate-world (accessed February 4, 2021).
- 44. Eva Xiao, "Auditors to Stop Investigating Factories in China's Xinjiang Despite Forced-Labor Concerns," *Wall Street Journal*, September 21, 2020, https://www.wsj.com/articles/auditors-say-they-no-longer-will-inspect-labor-conditions-at-xinjiang-factories-11600697706#:-:text=A%20 spokesman%20said%20WRAP%2C%20which,audits%20that%20meet%20its%20standards (accessed February 3, 2021).

- 45. E-Allegations, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, https://eallegations.cbp.gov/Home/Index2 (accessed January 22, 2021).
- 46. U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "Forced Labor," January 15, 2021, https://www.cbp.gov/trade/programs-administration/forced-labor (accessed January 19, 2021), and U.S. Customs and Border Protection, "CBP Issues Withhold Release Order on Tobacco from Malawi," November 1, 2019, https:// www.cbp.gov/newsroom/national-media-release/cbp-issues-withhold-release-order-tobacco-malawi (accessed January 19, 2021).
- 47. Olivia Enos, "Responding to the Crisis in Xinjiang," Heritage Foundation *Backgrounder* No. 3416, June 7, 2019, https://www.heritage.org/asia/report/responding-the-crisis-xinjiang.